But I discipline my body and bring it into subjection, lest, when I have preached to others, I myself should become disqualified.

1 Corinthians 9:27

**From The President's Desk**

“The world’s future is being decided at this time.” So says Rabbi Dennis Prager. While I think he short changes communist China as a potential world player, his thoughts are worth pondering. His article appeared on the Jewish World Review website for February 26, 2003.

“Such moments are extremely rare in history. And when they have occurred, they have between two, not three, competing ideologies.

“But there are now three ideologies competing to shape the future of mankind. They are militant Islam, Western European secularism and socialism, and American Judeo-Christianity and capitalism. The first is being spread both peacefully and violently, the second is being spread peacefully, and the third is not being spread.

“Though most people ignore the fact, almost all of the world’s believing Muslims believe that all of mankind should be Muslim. This, in and of itself, is not troubling—after all, most Christians would like the whole world to be Christian, and most Westerners would like the whole world to be democratic. What is troubling is that if only 10 percent of these Muslims are prepared to use violence to impose their religion on others, we are talking about 100 million people.

“This is the reason about one million non-Muslim Sudanese have been killed in the last 15
years—because they are resisting the violent imposition of Islam by the Islamic government in Khartoum. This is the reason for the Muslim-Christian violence in Nigeria—Christians there, too, are resisting the violent imposition of Islam. And this is the reason for Islamic terror—to weaken those countries, particularly the United States and Israel, that stand in the way of an Islamic takeover.

“The second ideology seeking to dominate the world is secularism and socialism as practiced in Western Europe and supported by educated elites around the world. This is a primary reason for the anti-American demonstrations in Western Europe and in the United States. They were far more against America (especially the America of George W. Bush) than they were against war. Most of these people could not care less about the wars of the world. They have been silent throughout the mass murder of Sudan’s blacks, during the genocide in Rwanda, during China’s crushing of Tibet, and during Saddam’s wars against Iran, Kuwait and Iraq’s own Kurds. American and European ‘peace’ activists have found those atrocities and wars quite boring.

“Western European socialists and their American (and Canadian, and Latin American) supporters are as passionate about secularism and socialism as believing Muslims are about Islam. And they want to dominate the world as much as militant Muslims want Islam to. Their vehicles are the United Nations, the European Union, international treaties such as the Kyoto Protocols, and international institutions such as the International Court.

“Regarding the American way, there are serious impediments to its success.

“First, while the first two ideologies—Islam and socialism/secularism—dominate many countries, the third ideology only dominates one—America. There is no other country that claims to be Judeo-Christian and no other that has such strong support for capitalism and small government (the opposite of socialism). Therefore, while both the militant Muslims and the socialist/secularists have supporters around the world, American values have few. That is why America goes it alone—with the partial exceptions of Israel and Britain, no other society has the same values as we do.

“Second, neither Judeo-Christian nor capitalist values are secure in America. Many Americans, including almost its entire intellectual class, are as hostile to Judeo-Christian and non-socialist values as the militant Muslims and European socialists are.

Third, almost no one is teaching the next generation of Americans (as almost no one taught the present adult generation) what is unique, let alone superior, about American values. Our children are overwhelmingly educated by people who believe in Europe’s values, not in ours.

“As neither China nor the rest of Asia, nor Africa, nor Latin America are offering an ideology that can dominate the world, either Europe’s or the militant Muslims’, or American’s way of
life will prevail.

“But the American way can only prevail if Americans believe in it. That is why, as important as the military and ideological battles against militant Islam are, the most important battle is the ideological one within America. But with America’s universities, unions, professional associations, mainstream news media, and one of its two major parties ideologically aligned with Europe, and with big businesses constantly undermining Judeo-Christian values, the battle within America itself for America’s unique values is far from won. And given that only America offers a viable alternative to both militant Islam and secularism/socialism, if we lose the battle here, humanity has a very dark future.”

Month In Review

Q “I have had neuralgia today but am otherwise alright—except for rheumatism which has prevented me from sleeping on my right side for nearly a year now. (What a series of rediscoveries life is. All the things which one used to regard as simply the nonsense grownups talk have one by one come true—draughts, rheumatism, Christianity. The best one of all remains to be verified.)

—C.S. Lewis, Letters of C.S. Lewis (1942)

Q “Amos Alonzo Stagg was the founder of football. When Stagg reached age 102, a newsman took his photo at a nursing home. As the newsman got ready to leave, he said, ‘I hope I can come back next year and take your photo when you’re 103.’ Stagg said, ‘You look pretty healthy to me. I think you’ll make it.’”

Q “Miguel Cervantes wrote Don Quixote when he was almost seventy years old. John Milton wrote Paradise Regained when he was sixty-three. Noah Webster wrote his monumental dictionary at seventy. Socrates gave his wise philosophies at seventy. Ignace Paderewski still gave concerts before large audiences at seventy-nine. William Gladstone still presented a powerful figure in political circles at eighty. Clara Barton founded the American Red Cross at fifty-nine. Benjamin Franklin helped to frame the U.S. Constitution at eighty-one. Benjamin Disraeli became prime minister of England for the second time at seventy.

“Johann von Goethe completed Faust at eighty-two. Thomas Edison worked busily in his lab at eighty-three. Alfred Tennyson published his memorable poem, Crossing the Bar, at eighty-three. Guiseppe Verdi composed Othello at seventy-three, Falstaff in his seventies, and Te Deum at eighty-five. Michelangelo was in his late eighties when he painted some of his
masterpieces. Arturo Toscanini conducted an orchestra at eighty-seven. Grandma Moses did many of her paintings after ninety. The Earl of Halsburg was ninety when he began preparing a twenty-volume revision of English law. Galileo made his greatest discovery when he was seventy-three. At sixty-nine, Hudson Taylor was still vigorously working on the mission field, opening up new territories in Indochina.”

Q “Where the modern materialist goes wrong is in failing to see that which goes beyond physical nature in himself. By extending naturalism even to his own mind and soul, the materialist ends up sliding into his own morass of irrationalism and superstition. How so?

“In the first place, a purely materialistic conception of man cannot account for the human power of reason itself. If we are just ‘a pack of neurons,’ in the words of Sir Francis Crick, if our mental life is nothing but electrical impulses in our nervous system, then one cannot explain the realm of abstract concepts, including those of theoretical science. Nor can one explain the human mind’s openness to truth, which is the foundation of all science. As Chesterton observed, the materialist cannot explain ‘why anything should go right, even observation and deduction. Why good logic should not be as misleading as bad logic, if they are both movements in the brain of a bewildered ape.’ Scientific materialism exalts human reason, but cannot account for human reason.

“Nor can materialism account for many other aspects of the human mind, such as consciousness, free will, and the very existence of a unitary self. In a purely material world such things cannot exist. Matter cannot be free. Matter cannot have a self. The materialist is thus driven to deny empirical facts—not the facts in front of his eyes, but, as it were, the facts behind his eyes: facts about his own mental life. He calls them illusions, or redefines them to be what they are not. In lowering himself to the level of the animal or the machine, the materialist ultimately denies his own status as a rational being, by reducing all his mental operations to instinct and programming.

“Thus, like the pagan of old, the materialist ends up subjecting man to the subhuman. The pagan supernaturalist did so by raising the merely material to the level of spirit or the divine. The materialist does so by lowering what is truly spiritual or in the divine image to the level of matter. The results are much the same. The pagan said that his actions were controlled by the orbits of the planets and stars, the materialist says they are controlled by the orbits of the electrons in his brain. The pagan bowed down to animals or the likenesses of animals in worship, the materialist avers that he himself is no more than an animal. The pagan spoke of fate, the materialist speaks of physical determinism.”

—Stephen M. Barr, First Things, March 2003, p. 19, 20
“The term ‘Darwinism’ conveys the suggestion of a secular ideology, a global system of belief. So it does and so it surely is. Darwin’s theory has been variously used—by Darwinian biologists—to explain the development of a bipedal gait, the tendency to laugh when amused, obesity, anorexia nervosa, business negotiations, a preference for tropical landscapes, the evolutionary roots of political rhetoric, maternal love, infanticide, clan formation, marriage, divorce, certain comical sounds, funeral rites, the formation of regular verb forms, altruism, homosexuality, feminism, greed, romantic love, jealousy, warfare, monogamy, polygamy, adultery, the fact that men are pigs, recursion, sexual display, abstract art, and religious beliefs of every description. If Darwinian biologists have not yet appropriated the class struggle, this is only because of their respect for competing ideological prerogatives.

—David Berlinski, Commentary, March 2003, p. 23

“Molecular biology, one of the glories of modern science, is where the mainstream lies; evolutionary biology remains what it has always been, a distant and rather muddy tributary. It is not molecular biology with which I scruple, needless to say, but Darwin’s theory of evolution.”

—David Berlinski, Commentary, March 2003, p. 23

“Intelligent design is doomed to disappear as a movement if it can do no more than criticize Darwin’s theory of evolution. Still, the purely negative criticisms made by members of the intelligent-design community have often been considerable in their effect—certainly more so than any criticisms I may have made. As a result, Darwin’s theory has lost some of its intellectual respectability even as it has continued to extend its popular reach.

“A great many scholars are now willing to say in public what they have long believed in private: that random variation and natural selection do not suffice to explain the observed facts in biology. An advertisement to this effect, placed by the Discovery Institute in the New York Review of Books, was signed by one hundred academics: under the letter ‘S’ alone, the list stretched from Henry F. Schaefer, the director of the center for computational quantum chemistry at the University of Georgia, to Richard Sternberg of the department of invertebrate zoology at the Smithsonian Institution.”

—David Berlinski, Commentary, March 2003, p. 27
“Who knows what dreams of glory the earthworm harbors? But for a few parametric changes in its regulatory apparatus, and a missing gene or two, it might rule the world.”

—David Berlinski, Commentary, March 2003, p. 31

“I would point out that when Richard Dawkins and Daniel Dennett make their views known through trade books, which are, of course, not peer-reviewed, other evolutionary biologists tend to regard those efforts with quiet pride.”

—David Berlinski, Commentary, March 2003, p. 31

Voices of the Intelligent Design camp are criticized by evolutionists for not having their articles published in peer-reviewed journals. The problem is that such journals will not print anti-evolution articles. Even when Michael Behe is criticized in such journals these journals will not allow him to reply. This is called “academic freedom.” Berlinski even quotes an evolutionists (Paul R. Gross, University of Virginia) to the effect that “Being right isn’t enough. What you say, however right, must be said in a currently acceptable language, must not violate too brutally currently acceptable taste, and must somehow signify your membership in a respectable club.” What Gross doesn’t admit but Berlinski does say is “what is really at stake for most biologists is their chances of being funded, their place at the common trough.” Secular Humanist slanted foundations aren’t about to fund anti-evolutionist biologists. Any one who thinks the Ford Foundation, for example, would fund a pro-creationist position doesn’t understand the situation.

“The folks at Big Brothers won’t return my calls. I wish they would, because I’d like to ask them a few questions about their new policy.

“I’ve learned their national office is forcing all 490 local chapters around the country to accept homosexuals as mentors to kids. As a child psychologist, I’m not sure that’s such a good idea.

“Of course there are many gays and lesbians who would like to help children. But fatherless boys and motherless girls are in desperate need of healthy, same-sex, adult role models. Why? Because they don’t have a parent of their own gender to show them how it’s done.
“I’ve worked with a lot of fatherless boys. Many of them have never even met their dads. They were either born out of wedlock or deserted by immature or irresponsible men. Many are emotionally fragile and suffering from what Yale psychiatrist Kyle Pruett has called ‘father need.’

“These boys are desperate for male attention and affirmation. Their moms are doing the best they can, and desire more than anything else for their sons to grow up to be good husbands and fathers. Unfortunately, they can’t learn those skills from a gay man, no matter how nice he is.

“As a psychologist, another concern I have about Big Brothers’ new policy is the issue of sexual attraction. Big Brothers doesn’t match up adult men with teenage girls. Why? Because it would set up a risky situation that could lead to sexual abuse. Why then, do they want to pair gay men with teenage boys? Nearly all of the recent molestation incidents involving the Catholic church were between a man and a boy.

“Dr. Curtis Bryant, a psychologist who has worked with more than 400 abusive priests, reports that most of those he has treated are gay.

“The Archives of Sexual Behavior (vol. 29, 2000) reports that 66 percent of pedophiles identify as homosexual or bisexual. In light of these facts, Big Brothers’ new policy seems reckless and irresponsible.

“Certainly most homosexual men are not pedophiles. But many mainstream gay leaders continue to promote the virtues of sex between men and boys. The San Francisco Sentinel once stated ‘The love between men and boys is at the foundation of homosexuality.’

“Another gay publication, The Guide, declared ‘instead of fearing being labeled pedophiles, we must proudly proclaim that sex is good, including children’s sexuality. We must do it for the children’s sake.’ Statements like these beg the question: how can pairing a boy, starving for male attention, with a gay man be a good idea?

“I have another question for Big Brothers. Don’t single moms have a right to know when their sons are matched with homosexual mentors?

“The national office of Big Brothers-Big Sisters claims ‘all matches are approved by the parent.’ While this is true in their community-based program, Big Brothers’ spokesperson Noreen Shanfelter admits it does not apply to the 70,000 children in their school-based program.

“Parents whose kids are enrolled in this program rarely meet the mentor and will not be told
when their son or daughter is paired with a gay man or a lesbian woman. Clearly this circumvents parental rights and undermines parental values.

“The dictionary defines ‘mentor’ as ‘counselor or guide.’ Certainly boys without dads and girls without moms can benefit from additional guidance. But are these children best served by gay and lesbian ‘guides?’

“The latest scientific statistics indicate that 1 to 3 percent of the U.S. population is homosexual. But children from single-parent homes need to learn how to succeed and thrive in the ‘97 percent world.’ Can they truly learn these skills from homosexual mentors?

Big Brothers-Big Sisters claims the new policy is about ‘inclusion’ and ‘anti-discrimination.’ But must we put the ‘rights’ of mentors above the safety and well-being of children and the wishes of their parents? Is Big Brothers really looking out for kids, or simply caving in to heavy-handed pressure from gay activists? I’d like to ask them, but they haven’t been returning my calls.”


Q “A federal court in Kentucky has dismissed a lawsuit brought by the ACLU that challenged the constitutionality of a county courthouse display that included the Ten Commandments among a series of historical and legal texts.

‘The court is extremely clear that the display [in the Mercer County, Ky., courthouse] does not violate the Constitution and merely acknowledges the role that the Ten Commandments has played in the formation of our nation’s heritage and history,’ said Francis J. Manion, senior counsel of the American Center for Law and Justice, which defended Mercer County in the case.

‘This decision is an important victory underscoring the fact that such a display is an acknowledgement of history, not an endorsement of religion,’ Mr. Manion added.

At issue was the Foundations of American Law and Government exhibit in the courthouse, which includes framed copies of the Mayflower Compact, the Declaration of Independence, the Ten Commandments, the Magna Carta, ‘The Star-Spangled Banner,’ the national motto ‘In God We Trust,’ the Preamble to the Kentucky Constitution and the Bill of Rights.

“In a ruling released Thursday, U.S. District Court Judge Karl S. Forester in Lexington wrote that the American Civil Liberties Union of Kentucky ‘initiated this controversy on Nov. 27, 2001, by filling a complaint…alleging that a display in the Mercer County Courthouse..."
violates the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment.’

“The ACLU held that the inclusion of the Ten Commandments in the display ‘constitutes the establishment [or endorsement] of religion by Mercer County.’ It sought a preliminary injunction, which, if approved, would have required the immediate removal of the public exhibit.

“Judge Forester rejected the ACLU’s request, but gave the group an additional four months to come up with other reasons to justify its claim that the display is unconstitutional.

“When the ACLU failed to respond to the extended deadline, the Virginia-based ACLJ [American Center for Law and Justice] filed a motion for a summary judgment to dismiss the plaintiff’s suit.”


Q “Omaha, Neb., is home to the nation’s most notorious late-term abortionist, LeRoy Carhart, whose lawsuit led the U.S. Supreme Court to strike down the state’s partial-birth abortion ban and poison similar initiatives elsewhere in the country. But Carhart isn’t the only famous local citizen committed to ending unborn life.

“As the founder and chief shareholder of Berkshire Hathaway—a conglomerate of corporate heavyweights like See’s Candies, Fruit of the Loom, Dexter Shoes and Dairy Queen—Omaha resident Warren Buffett is worth billions. You’d think wealth like that might motivate him to improve the lives of the less fortunate. Instead, he funnels millions of dollars to international abortion and ‘population-control’ groups such as Planned Parenthood, ensuring that an untold number of children will never live to consume the soft drinks, wear the shoes and eat the ice cream upon which Buffett builds his fortune.

“That’s why, as director of the Population Research Institute, I agreed to speak on behalf of concerned shareholders at a spring Berkshire Hathaway meeting.

“While there, I discovered that Buffett is something of a hometown hero. ‘He is the Oracle of Omaha, the Prophet of profit,’ a front-page article in the local newspaper read. After all, Berkshire Hathaway has created several locally grown millionaires, including some Christians unaware of the anti-life causes their investments fund.

“So I wondered as I entered the shareholder meeting how Buffet would respond to my proposal that shareholders withhold charitable contributions that might depopulate their own customer pool. What would the Christians in the audience think?
“I had my speech in hand and was looking forward to delivering it to the 10,000 shareholders who had gathered at Omaha Civic Auditorium. I pointed out that abortion is controversial, and that it undermines the company’s future business.

“‘It should be self-evident that Berkshire Hathaway, like the economy as a whole, is dependent upon people,’ I said. ‘Now you may think that there is a superabundance of people, and that we will never run short, but this is not true. Half the countries of the world—including countries in Latin America, Africa, and Asia—have birthrates below replacement. Europe and Japan are literally dying, filling more coffins than cradles each year...[and] dying populations may shrink the economic pie.

“‘Let me give you a concrete example of what I mean. There are 103 Dairy Queens in Thailand. But Thailand, due to a massive sterilization and contraception program supported by Planned Parenthood and other population-control groups, now has a birthrate that is below replacement—and falling....Now you may think that Thailand has too many children. But is it possible for there to be too many children for Dairy Queen?’

“When I finished, the shareholders erupted in applause. But since Buffett and his inner circle of investors control a large majority of the votes, my proposal was rejected.

“Berkshire Hathaway investors will likely lose money as the world’s consumer population declines. What’s more, the 72-year-old Buffett, the world’s second-richest man, has pledged to endow The Buffett Foundation with billions more after he dies. If this happens, The Buffett Foundation will be the single largest population-control endowment ever. It will be a virtually unstoppable abortion juggernaut, unless the billionaire has a change of heart.

“So say a prayer for Warren Buffett. And say one, too, for his Christian shareholders.”

—Steve Mosher, Citizen magazine, January 2003, p. 28, 29

Q “Tony Campolo, one of American Christianity’s most well-know evangelists, is doing the Gospel of Jesus Christ a grave disservice with misinformation, unscriptural political analysis and dangerously bad theology.

“In a speech in Canada recently, Campolo gave a rambling presentation explaining the absolute necessity of creating a new Palestinian state to win over the Muslim world.

“If Campolo really believes what he said in this speech, he is ignorant of history. If he doesn’t believe what he said, he is a politically motivated liar misusing the Gospel to further
a hopelessly evil political agenda.

“You decide for yourself.

“He claims firstly that the church ‘has forgotten that there’s a group of people called Palestinians. And unless we stand up and speak for justice on behalf of the Palestinians, we are going to lose the missionary struggle in the next hundred years.’

“These ‘Palestinians,’ Campolo explains, are being suppressed by the Israelis.

“He then explains that Israel is a state birthed only in a relentless war of terrorism waged by Jews against British rule after World War I.

“The British were so worn out ‘[by] terrorism over the next 20 years that they wanted out of the mess,’ he says. ‘So after World War II, they presented to the United Nations meeting, in New York, a proposal: that the land that hitherto had belonged to people who lived in Palestine was going to be partitioned and made into the state of Israel.’

“This simplistic statement is so wrong in so many ways I could write 100,000 words refuting it. In fact, I already have. But let me, as an Arab-American Christian, just try to set the record straight in the limited space I have today.

“The British, who conquered the region (not the country—but the region) of Palestine in World War I promised to recreate a Jewish state there. It was an ideal piece of real estate—neglected, largely uninhabited and the historical homeland of the Jews who had been massacred by the Roman Empire and dispersed throughout the world many centuries earlier.

“Nevertheless, despite enormous persecution throughout those centuries—persecution by Rome, by Christians and lastly by Muslims—a significant remnant of Jews stayed in their Holy Land. Before the British won their military victory in the Middle East, Jews represented the majority of the population of Jerusalem and other cities in the region.

“In fact, right up until 1947 when Israel was recreated as a Jewish state by a vote of the United Nations, the term ‘Palestinians’ was synonymous with ‘Jews.’ Today the term has been co-opted by Yasser Arafat’s terrorists and propagandists who suggest Palestine was an Arab country.

“There has never been an Arab country known as Palestine in the history of the world.

“The overwhelming number of Arabs in this territory today have come from other Arab countries for very good and understandable reasons—jobs, economic opportunity, freedom that they have never known in their homelands.
“The British did not throw any Arabs out of their homes. The U.N. did not throw any Arabs out of their homes. The Israelis did not throw any Arabs out of their homes. Some left the region in 1948 at the urging of Arab leaders who declared a war on Israel the day it was reborn.

“Why did they declare war? Because they didn’t want any Jewish state in the region. The U.S. had voted to create two nations—one Arab and one Jewish in the region of Palestine, but that was not acceptable to the Arabs.

“It is still not acceptable to the vast majority of Arabs today. They want it all—and nothing less than the destruction of the Jewish state will satisfy them.

Campolo conveniently forgets that some 750,000 Jews—all living in Israel today—were kicked out of their homes in Arab and Muslim countries like Iraq, Iran, Syria, Libya, Yemen, etc. They were forced out with little more than the clothes on their backs. Many didn’t make it at all. Many died in pogroms before they got the chance to leave.

“Tony Campolo is a moral relativist and a political ideologue—a man who embraced Bill Clinton and rationalized and excused his unconscionable behavior in the White House for eight years. He served as his personal spiritual counselor. Judge him by his fruits.”


Q “In all the swirl of words around the issue of affirmative action in college and university admissions—including the endlessly repeated mantra of ‘diversity’—there is seldom a single word about serving the public by admitting those who have the academic skills to put the educational resources to the best use.

“If a disproportionate number of those who can master the skills that educational institutions provide are Asian Americans, then as Mr. Reagan said, ‘So what?’

“Do you want to fly in planes flown by the best qualified pilots available or in planes flown by quota pilots or by pilots whose life stories were most appealing to those on admissions committees? If you are going to have heart surgery, do you want the best surgeon you can get or do you want a surgeon who had to overcome a lot of handicaps just to make it through medical school?

“Would you be offended to have your life saved by someone who had easily become the best surgeon because he was born in the lap of privilege and always had the finest education
available, regardless of how much it cost? Would it bother you if he was Asian American or even—heaven help up—a WASP?

“Institutions and occupations exist for a purpose, and that purpose is not to provide a statistical picture that is pleasing for those people who are preoccupied with statistical pictures. Food and shelter, housing and health, life and death are among the many things that depend on how well institutions function and how well people do their jobs.

“These things are too important to sacrifice so that busybodies can feel important directing other people’s lives. Indeed, the freedom of those other people is too important to be sacrificed for the sake of third parties’ vanity.

“Anyone who is serious about wanting to help minority young people must know that the place to start is at precisely the other end of the educational process. That means beginning in the earliest grades teaching reading, math and other mental skills on which their future depends. But that would mean clashing with the teachers’ unions’ and their own busybody agenda of propaganda and psychological manipulation in the classrooms.

“The path of least resistance is to give minority youngsters a lousy education and then admit them to college by quotas. With a decent education, they wouldn’t need the quotas.”


Q “Access to Energy has published many articles about the myth that human activity is dangerously warming the atmosphere. The Earth’s atmosphere has been warming for 300 years as it recovers from an unusually cold period. Temperatures are now at about the 3,000 year average. This is neither dangerous, nor it is caused by human activity.

“At www.oism.org/pproject, the Internet site that lists the names of more than 17,000 scientists who have signed our petition against the Kyoto protocols and the myth of human-caused global warming, the review paper Environmental Effects of Increased Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide by A.B. Robinson, S.L. Balliuinas, W. Soon, and Z.W. Robinson is reproduced along with 66 pertinent references to the peer-reviewed scientific literature. This summarizes the relevant evidence.

“Research published since that review was written has simply reinforced its discussions and conclusions. Human-caused global warming, if it exists at all, is clearly so slight that it has, so far, been undetectable by experiment and therefore poses no threat whatever to the environmental health of the Earth.
“The only significant environmental effect of increased atmospheric carbon dioxide is a marked increase in the populations of plants and animals—a beneficial environmental change.”