

April 1998 Summit Journal

- [From the President's Desk](#)
- [Month in Review](#)
- [Ideological Tools of the Left](#)
- [Letters From Our Friends](#)
- [The Feminist Dilemma](#)
- [Other](#)
- [Book of the Month](#)

From the President's Desk

On April 4th Dr. Fred Schwarz, founder and president of the Christian Anti-Communism Crusade, announced his retirement after 45 years of loyal service to the cause of God, truth, freedom and the furtherance of the Gospel of Jesus Christ. His wonderful book, *Beating the Unbeatable Foe* (Regnery Publishers), is a moving story of a one-man crusade against the forces of darkness, deceit, mass killings, lies and slavery. Fred Schwarz took Communism seriously and battled it his whole life. He knew it was the mask of Satan (who lies, steals, murders and destroys—John 10:10) and told the stark naked truth about it. For his efforts he was labeled an extremist, a rightwinger, a neanderthal and worse.

I am willing to go on record and state that Dr. Schwarz told the truth about Communism in direct proportion to the New York Times and other anti-anti-Communist newspapers who were lying about or covering-up for Communism. Indeed, with the opening of the KGB files it is becoming ever more clear that the Times and other liberal newspapers have been lying to the American people since the Russian Revolution (1917)! While these liberals and leftists were trying to make Senator Joseph McCarthy out to be the enemy of America they were fronting for the real enemy of this Republic. As difficult as it may be to believe today, the anti-anti-Communists considered the anti-Communists to be more deadly than the Communists. Yet, to this day the leftists have never apologized for their actions and knowing them as I do we can rest assured they never will. Has Hanoi Jane, for example, ever apologized for her "prayers" for Communism or her betrayal of our soldiers? I don't think so! And while David Horowitz in *Radical Son* apologizes for his war on America he makes it clear that very few have followed his example. He also admits that his father, a hardened Communist, read *The New York Times* every day!

For those who doubt such statements and sentiment I would simply suggest a reading of the book published by Yale University Press entitled *The Soviet World of American Communism* by Kiehr, Haynes and Anderson. In a review of this work by Arnold Beichman in *The Weekly Standard* for March 9, 1998 Beichman says, "The Communist Party USA did remarkably well in securing unconditional approval for Soviet foreign policy aims from such non-Communist Americans as Henry Wallace, Joseph E. Davies, Vera Micheles Dean, Claude Pepper—the list is endless. So, too, the party

did quite well in espionage recruitment, finding Alger Hiss, J. Robert Oppenheimer, Whitaker Chambers, Lee Pressman, Julius and Ethel Rosenberg, and many more."

Unfortunately, because the liberals and leftists in this country were either duped to such a degree or deliberately covered up the Communist deception there is little hope that the truth about Communism will ever make the front pages of many publications. In fact, as Beichman notes, the liberal/left is already seeking to rehabilitate Communism by making it part of the larger family of socialism and democracy. Says Beichman, "No one would think of doing this favor for fascism, but communism with even more millions of victims and a much longer life span is the beneficiary of this sustained effort of historical rehabilitation in—of all places—American colleges and universities."

But this is where we fit in this matrix. Not only did Dr. Schwarz announce his retirement on April 4th, he also announced the fact that Summit Ministries and your editor will be the recipients of his whole organization. The Christian Anti-Communism Crusade will not die with Dr. Schwarz' retirement. The staff of the Summit and yours truly are determined to continue his ministry. We plan to bring the message of the Crusade to our Summit young people as well as reaching out to hundreds of thousands of college students. We plan to use the Internet and any other means to further the cause of the Crusade.

If a Jewish organization or two can keep a close eye on Nazism, which we applaud, certainly someone in the Christian community can keep an critical eye on the Communist movement. In fact, our goal is to make the terms "anti-Communism" and "anti-Marxist" as respectable as the terms "anti-Nazism" and "anti-Hitler". Christians should be against all the "isms" that caused the slaughter of the 20th century including Fascism, Nazism and Communism. The anti-Communists did not fall for the atheism, dialectical materialism, class morality and socialism of the Communists. In fact, it was the liberal secularists that fell for the party line. Fred Schwarz was not deceived by these ideas. He should be a hero, but instead all anti-Communists were, and continue to be, tarred with the "McCarthyism" brush.

Your editor, along with Dr. Michael Bauman, head of Christian Studies at Hillsdale College, Hillsdale, Michigan, will be editing The Schwarz Report and promoting the educational and spiritual aims of the Schwarz organization. Dr. Schwarz has been publishing this newsletter for over 38 years and we will continue publishing it monthly. Dr. Ronald H. Nash, professor at Reformed Theological Seminary, has agreed to help with the newsletter as well. Dr. Nash has written extensively on the subject of Marxism (as has Dr. Bauman) and these men are confident the product will be first class.

We plan to keep one eye on the Old Communist Left, the New Communist Left and every other Left (e. g., Liberation Theology) in between. We plan to keep another eye on the Cultural Left, the Environmental Left, the Gramsci Left, the Radical Feminist Left, the Radical "Gay" Liberation Left and the Religious Left. We plan to keep both eyes on the Critical Studies crowd, Critical Theory, the Postmodern crowd, the Deconstructionists, the Fabians, the Frankfurt School and any other Leftwing school or organization that influences our young people in any way, shape or form. We plan to cover history, theology, philosophy, economics and whatever else to keep track of these enemies of God, truth, freedom and justice. Needless, to say, we covet your prayers.

The slaughter of the 20th Century is reason enough to keep all eyes on these groups and The Schwarz Report will be doing just that. Besides, theologian D.A. Carson in *The Gagging of God* says that we need to have a "well directed" assault on the ideas of Marxism, Freudianism, evolution and postmodernism. If any readers of the *Summit Journal* wish to receive the Report, you may subscribe for \$25. Send your subscription to: Christian Anti-Communism Crusade, P. O. Box 129, Manitou Springs, CO 80829.

Because Dr. Schwarz has had such a profound influence on my life, I wish him well in his retirement and his return to his native land—Australia. He will continue to study, read and write for us, but he needs a rest and the love of his wonderful wife and family. His son, Dr. John Schwarz, will continue to be on the Board of the Crusade.

Month in Review

"Beloved, while I was very diligent to write to you concerning our common salvation, I found it necessary to write to you exhorting you to contend earnestly for the faith which was once for all delivered to the saints."

Jude verse 3

"And what more shall I say? For the time would fail me to tell of Gideon and Barak and Samson and Jephthah, also of David and Samuel and the prophets: who through faith subdued kingdoms, worked righteousness, obtained promises, stopped the mouths of lions, quenched the violence of fire, escaped the edge of the sword, out of weakness were made strong, became valiant in battle, turned to flight the armies of the aliens. Women received their dead raised to life again.

"Others were tortured, not accepting deliverance, that they might obtain a better resurrection. Still others had trial of mockings and scourgings, yes, and of chains and imprisonment. They were stoned, they were sawn in two, were tempted, were slain with the sword. They wandered about in sheepskins and goatskins, being destitute, afflicted, tormented of whom the world was not worthy."

Hebrews 11:32-38

Be strong!

We are not here to play, to dream, to drift:

We have hard work to do and loads to lift;

Shun not the struggle: face it 'tis God's gift.

Be strong!

Say not the days are evil who's to blame?

And fold the hands and acquiesce O shame!

Stand up, speak out, and bravely, in God's Name,

Be strong!

It matters not how deep entrenched the wrong,

How hard the battle goes, the day, how long;

Faint not, fight on! Tomorrow comes the song.

Maltbie Babcock (d. 1901)

Things fall apart, the centre cannot hold;

Mere anarchy is loosed upon the world,

The blood-dimmed tide is loosed, and everywhere

The ceremony of innocence is drowned;

The best lack all conviction, while the worst

Are full of passionate intensity.

W.B. Yeats

There once was a pretty good student,

Who sat in a pretty good class

And was taught by a pretty good teacher,

Who always let pretty good pass.

He wasn't terrific at reading,

He wasn't a whiz-bang at math.

But for him, education was leading

Straight down a pretty good path.

He didn't find school too exciting,

But he wanted to do pretty well,

And he did have some trouble with writing,

And nobody had taught him to spell.

When doing arithmetic problems,

Pretty good was regarded as fine.

Five plus 5 needn't always add up to be 10,

A pretty good answer was 9.

The pretty good class that he sat in

Was part of a pretty good school.

And the student was not an exception,

On the contrary, he was the rule.

The pretty good school that he went to

Was there in a pretty good town.

And nobody there seemed to notice

He could not tell a verb from a noun.

The pretty good student in fact was

Part of a pretty good mob.

And the first time he knew what he lacked was

When he looked for a pretty good job.

It was then, when he sought a position,

He discovered that life could be tough.

And he soon had a sneaky suspicion

Pretty good might not be good enough.

The pretty good town in our story

Was part of a pretty good state,

Which had pretty good aspirations,

And prayed for a pretty good fate.

There once was a pretty good nation,

Pretty proud of the greatness it had,

Which learned much too late,

If you want to be great,

Pretty good is, in fact, pretty bad.

"The Osgood File," copyright 1986, CBS Inc.

"We are afraid that heaven is a bribe, and that if we make it our goal we shall no longer be disinterested. It is not so. Heaven offers nothing that a mercenary soul can desire. It is safe to tell the pure in heart that they shall see God, for only the pure in heart want to."

C.S. Lewis, The Problem of Pain

Ideological Tools of the Left

"If linguistics has taught us anything, it has taught us that whatever can be said in one language can be said in another, even if not in the same way and brevity."

Donald A. Carson, *The Gagging of God*, p. 99

"'You are a deconstructionist,' I told her, 'but you expect me to interpret your words aright. More precisely, you are upset because I seem to be divorcing the meaning I claim to see in your words from your intent. Thus, implicitly you affirm the link between text and authorial intent. I have never read a deconstructionist who would be pleased if a reviewer misinterpreted his or her work: thus in practice deconstructionists implicitly link their own texts with their own intentions. I simply want the same courtesy extended to [the Apostle] Paul.'"

Donald A. Carson, *The Gagging of God*, p. 103

Deconstructionism is the literary device that twists the written text to mean what the observer or reader says it means instead of what the author intended it to mean. For Summit students meeting such nonsense on campus, we recommend John M. Ellis, *Against Deconstruction* (Princeton University Press, 1989) and Donald A. Carson, *The Gagging of God* (Zondervan, 1996).

"Like most of the destructive movements of the 20th century—socialism, fascism, nihilism—multiculturalism is an invention of well-fed intellectuals. It did not well up from the immigrant communities and ethnic ghettos of America as an expression of their cultural aspirations or communal needs. In fact its primary sponsor and most effective agency has been the Ford Foundation, a ten billion dollar tax-dodge created to protect the fortune of America's leading industrial bigot. Henry Ford published the *Protocols of the Elders of Zion* as a public service in the '20s and influenced Adolf Hitler's anti-Semitic crusade, winning himself an Iron Cross in the process. After his death, his foundation passed into the control of the intellectual Left and its fellow-travelers, the bureaucratic mandarins and the parlor socialists of the monied elite."

David Horowitz, *Heterodoxy*, January 1998

"What is multiculturalism? Well, in the first place, as my partner Peter Collier has pointed out, it is two lies in one word, since it is neither multi- nor cultural. It is, instead, fundamentally political and, like Stalinism, allows only one party and one party line. Its bottom-line agenda is the deconstruction of the idea of American nationality, in the service of the mindless, destructive, never-ending radical assault on the capital of the democratic world. Because it is the capital of the democratic world. Multiculturalism is the team banner of the hate-America Left.

"From its inception as a nation of immigrants 200-odd years ago, America has been the inclusive multi-national, multi-ethnic society, unparalleled in all human history in its success in integrating diverse

communities on the basis of an ideal of equality. This success has been predicated on an American culture (not a multicultural) that makes that integration possible and sustains that American idea.

"Multiculturalism is a head-on challenge above all to the notion that there is an American culture, and, that this culture is superior to all other cultures in precisely the ambition to be inclusive and equal, and that, consequently, this culture is the very crucible of America's future and its multi-ethnic success.

"Multiculturalism is the place the Left went to lick its wounds when the '60s was over, and to carry on its malevolent agendas. The question radicals faced at the time was: How to continue the war against the evil empire America now that socialism was indisputably bankrupt. You do it the Gramscian way— Antonio Gramsci being one of the many many disreputable Communists (and not a few disreputable Nazis) who have been enshrined as intellectual icons by the academic Left. Gramsci's addition to Marxist theory was to suggest that by seizing control of the culture you could extend that control to the rest of the social order as well. Never mind that the notion that the ruling ideas may not be the ideas of the ruling class destroys the entire edifice of Marxist theory. Logic was never a strong point of the Left. The real beauty of Gramsci's strategy is that it lets you forget about economics (which you never understood anyway) and about the colossal failure, the pure evil of actual socialist achievements, while continuing your adolescent hatred for America and its immense good works."

David Horowitz, Heterodoxy, January 1998

Every so often, it is necessary to put down in writing the comments of those pushing and pulling for a New World Order or World Government. The leftists and liberals continue to ridicule the "religious right" for such a hang-up, but the facts speak for themselves. There are forces seeking to undermine American sovereignty and establish some type of world organization. Dr. D.L. Cuddy, John Loeffler and Chuck Missler have done a great research job in bringing the following quotes together for the April 1997 issue of Personal Update. And while these are dated mostly in the 1940s, the Cuddy article takes us all the way to 1996 and the Gorbachev State of the World Forum. We'll return to this area from time to time:

"1946 The Teacher and World Government, by former editor of the NEA Journal (National Education Association) Joy Elmer Morgan, is published. He says: 'In the struggle to establish an adequate world government, the teacher...can do much to prepare the hearts and minds of children for global understanding and cooperation...At the very heart of all the agencies which will assure the coming of world government must stand the school, the teacher, and the organized profession.'

"1947 The American Education Fellowship calls for the: '...establishment of a genuine world order, an order in which national sovereignty is subordinate to world authority...'

"July 1948 Sir Harold Butler, in the CFR's Foreign Affairs, sees 'a New World Order' taking shape: 'How far can the life of nations, which for centuries have thought of themselves as distinct and unique, be merged with the life of other nations? How far are they prepared to sacrifice a part of their

sovereignty without which there can be no effective economic or political union?'

"1948 The preliminary draft of a World Constitution is published by U.S. educators, advocating regional federation on the way toward world federation. It provided for a 'World Council' with a 'Chamber of Guardians' to enforce world law, as well as a call for nations to surrender their arms to the world government, and the right of this 'Federal Republic of the World' to seize private property for its use.

"Feb. 9, 1950 The Senate Foreign Relations Subcommittee introduces Senate Concurrent Resolution #66 which begins: 'Whereas, in order to achieve universal peace and justice, the present Charter of the United Nations should be changed to provide a true world government constitution.' The resolution was introduced by Senator Glen Taylor (D-Idaho), who later stated: 'We would have to sacrifice considerable sovereignty to the world organization to enable them to levy taxes in their own right to support themselves.'"

Puzzles For the Evolutionist

"Too bad the perfect one-liner had already been used. When the great Columbia University physicist I. I. Rabi was confronted with news of the muon, a wholly unexpected new subatomic particle, he asked in mock horror, 'Who ordered that?' Astrophysicists reacted pretty much the same way when University of Geneva observers Michel Mayor and Didier Queloz stood up at a conference in October 1995 to announce they'd found something their colleagues had been seeking for decades—a planet orbiting a sunlike star.

"The trouble was, nobody had ordered, or even imagined, a planet quite like the object circling 51 Pegasi, a star lying 50 light-years from Earth in the constellation Pegasus. For one thing, it is huge—about half the mass of Jupiter. Yet despite this bulk, it orbits only some 5 million miles from 51 Peg seven times closer than tiny Mercury orbits our sun and whips through one orbit in a scant 4.2 days.

"To appreciate how bizarre this behavior is, it helps to consider the bigger planets in our solar system Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus, and Neptune. They are all at least a hundred times farther from the sun than 51 Peg's planet appears to be. And it takes them years—a full dozen years, in the case of Jupiter—to make a single orbit.

"Things get worse when you try to explain how 51 Peg's planet came to be. The only observationally grounded theory of planet formation that astronomers have is the one they reverse-engineered from the only planetary system known to exist (until recently, that is): our own solar system. The story starts almost 5 billion years ago with a slowly revolving cloud of collapsing interstellar gas and dust. The more the cloud falls in upon itself, the faster it spins, eventually flattening into a huge rotating disk. Matter continues to fall into the now rapidly spinning core at the disk's center until it becomes so dense and hot that hydrogen begins fusing into helium, releasing light and other types of radiation: the sun is born. Out in the disk, meanwhile, dust particles collide and stick together until they've built themselves into huge, solid, spherical lumps such as the planets.

"Now comes the part that separates the giant planets from the rest. The relentless pressure of the solar wind sweeps the lighter gases hydrogen, oxygen, and water vapor, among others away from the inner solar system, leaving behind tiny, naked lumps of dust: puny Earth and its neighbors. Much of this gas blows out to the extremities, to Pluto and the comets. But some of it quite a lot of it, actually gets caught up in that vast swath of dust in between. This logjam of gas and dust contains enough matter to make planets ten times bigger than Earth. Once an object of such size forms, it has a gravitational field powerful enough to act like a giant vacuum cleaner, and it sucks in whatever nearby gas is left over. In a mere 10,000 years or so you have Jupiter—a rocky core ten times the size of Earth surrounded by an immense atmosphere 35,000 miles thick.

"Everybody was more or less happy with this story until 51 Peg and its weird planet came along. How could a giant planet form so close to a star without being sucked in by gravity? And where did all that dust and gas come from anyway? Right up against the blast of a strong stellar wind, there shouldn't have been any gas left over, that's for sure. And it's hard to imagine that there would be enough dust available to make something half as big as Jupiter out of rock alone.

"In short, who ordered that?"

Discover, September 1997

Letters From Our Friends

"I attended Maranatha High School my senior year. I was introduced to your book *Understanding the Times* in my Bible class by Mr. R. B. (I must say that I fell in love with that book by the end of the introduction.)

"I have moved on to college and am attending Cal. State Fullerton. I am currently a second semester freshman and I must say that your book got me through the first semester of college, especially the philosophy and biology sections of the book. I have used that book so far to write five of my college papers (two in English and three in philosophy). In fact, I have even used the arguments in the book to defend the faith. This book is an amazing weapon against the gates of hell.

"The information contained within each page is so precious and valuable that I have decided it has come time to pass the knowledge along.

Sherwin S., Rosemead, CA

"I attended the Summit during the September session of 1993. I am now a sophomore in pre-med chemical engineering at Oklahoma State University. (I am a National Merit Scholar and honors student.)

"Last semester, I took a biology course that was 100% evolution-based. In fact, the entire textbook used

evolution as its unifying theme. The introduction to the book stated that evolution was the foundation of biology and that everything in the book would demonstrate how various aspects of biology were built out of the evolutionary foundation.

"Because I was taking the course as an honors student, I was required to do a term paper on the teacher-approved subject of my choice. I requested to do my paper on scientific evidences that support creation rather than evolution. Reluctantly, my professor agreed to allow me to do this topic (he is a staunch evolutionist). Although he did not give me a grade on the paper, I received an A in the class and honors credit for my paper.

"I have also defended the Christian worldview on numerous occasions in an honors American history class I took last semester. For that course, the teacher required the class to read seven books (that he had chosen), most of which were strongly anti-Christian and/or pro-government socialist welfare state. I must state that he was an excellent teacher, very enjoyable and interesting, which made keeping on guard all the more difficult.

"I would like to thank Dr. Noebel and the Summit for the training I received. I think it helped me stand unshaken in my Christian beliefs and helped me understand the relationship between those beliefs and my worldview."

Richard B., Stillwater, OK

"I attended Summit third session this summer and wanted to let you know what an eye-opening experience it was for me. My family is actively involved at our church; however, I had never been very interested in politics. My attitude about political activity changed entirely as a result of the speakers I heard at Summit.

"One week from now, I will be starting college at Texas A&M. Originally, I had planned to major in Nutrition and work on the mission field as a nutritionist (dietitian) or science teacher. However, immediately following my graduation in May, I was notified that I had been accepted to the A&M Medical School. The Lord obviously has greater plans than I ever dreamed for myself. I can begin as soon as I have completed 60 classroom hours and met some general requirements. Working full-time on the mission field is still my long-term goal.

Anna G., College Station, TX

The Feminist Dilemma

"A suburban chapter of the National Organization for Women voted unanimously last night to call for the resignation of the group's entire national leadership, saying it was 'hypocritical' to remain silent on President Clinton's reputed sexual misconduct.

"We are calling for the resignation of all national officers and the board [of NOW]. They have to go,' said Marie-Jose Ragab, president of the Dulles Area NOW, based in Fairfax County.

"Mrs. Ragab said last night's vote officially makes Dulles Area NOW a dissident chapter. 'We will not promote the national organization as it stands,' she said.

"There was no need for debate before the vote, Mrs. Ragab said: 'There was no dissension. All are in support of the move.'

"Further details of last night's vote were not available. Mrs. Ragab would not disclose the size of her chapter, saying only that it is 'medium-sized.'

"Mrs. Ragab said the decision 'called for much soul-searching, but we can't allow [NOW's national leadership] to trample our rights.'

"We were dismayed by the long silence on sexual harassment, and we were surprised at misleading statements,' Mrs. Ragab said. She expressed astonishment that NOW's president, Patricia Ireland, and its former president, Eleanor Smeal, 'go on national television and imply that the [Monica Lewinsky] scandal is not sexual harassment.'"

The Washington Times, February 27, 1998, p. A6

"Where are the feminists? David Broder wondered on Meet the Press. 'Why are they silent about the allegations involving the president?' When you hear these words from that source on that program, you know hard times have arrived. The feminists stand exposed as a partisan pressure group, which is not what they said they were. They said they were a universal moral movement acting on behalf of all women. They claimed, very loudly, that harassment was an outrage and a horror. But as it turns out, they are for women selectively, and against harassment only now and then. It was never a principle, merely a hammer, a tool used to bludgeon political enemies. Their outrage, it is now clear, has always been a fraud and a pretense. No one can now believe a word they say.

"That is why the era of feminist influence, which peaked in 1992, the 'Year of the Woman,' may now be drawing to a close. It began in 1991 with the Hill-Thomas hearings and bloomed the next year into numerous payoffs: harassment consciousness, harassment law, four new feminists in the U.S. Senate, the first feminist president in American history, and his ur-feminist heroine wife. All of these were connected, and they were powered by Anita Hill. 'I believe Anita Hill,' said Gov. Clinton in 1992, clasping hands with feminist candidates. His wife attended a lunch in Hill's honor and urged women with stories like hers to come forward.

"That was the problem—one did. At that moment, feminist law and feminist consciousness began to ensnare Bill and Hillary Clinton, beginning their nightmare. It was the feminists who, in their search for private dirt on Clarence Thomas, Robert Bork, and John Tower, created the conflation of public and

private from which the Clintons now suffer. It was by the feminists' standards that Bill Clinton's behavior ascended from the seedy to the criminal. It was the feminists who created the aggressive laws and culture that led to the Paula Jones lawsuit. It was their overheated rhetoric in the Hill-Thomas hearings that formed the idea that it was the pass, not the punishment, that was the basis of legal harassment, thus giving the Jones case its legitimacy. It was by the feminists' insistence that not merely an act but an atmosphere could be construed as criminal. It was their urging that created the expansive nature of harassment law, wherein a plaintiff is given unlimited access to rummage freely in the prior private life of a defendant, a scope not permitted even in cases of rape. And it is this triumph that may prove lethal—for it was on one such fishing expedition that Paula Jones's lawyers came up with the names of Kathleen Willey and Monica Lewinsky, who otherwise would have remained unknown.

"So: No Anita Hill, no harassment culture. No harassment culture, no harassment law. No harassment law, no Paula Jones. No Paula Jones, no discovery process. No discovery process, no other women. No other women, no lies. No lies, no perjury charges, no witness tampering, no obstruction of justice, no crisis, no talk of removal. Anita Hill brought on the great Clinton crisis. The feminists laid the groundwork for the destruction of their own."

Noemie Emery, *The Weekly Standard*, March 9, 1998, p. 22

Other

"In a single 24-hour period, 16,000 clueless citizens of planet earth will be slapped with a non-negotiable death warrant, marked 'due and payable' some 10 years hence. Once infected with the HIV virus, no second chance is likely. Eventually and inevitably, the Grim Reaper will prevail. Facts like these jolted the Gay Men's Health Crisis into a policy about-face: the foremost AIDS service organization in the country now supports HIV reporting.

"Trafficking in uncompromising statistics has not endeared Dr. Cary Savitch to different, more resistant activists. Dr. Savitch's agony provoked him to pilfer moments from a hitherto bone-wearying schedule to pen *The Nutcracker is Already Dancing*. You could say his laceratingly honest book was both ghost-written and co-authored by the no-longer-living patients he spent the past 18 years treating.

"Dr. Savitch, an assistant clinical professor of Medicine at UCLA with a private practice in Ventura County, Calif., identifies his trainwreck as the devastating realization that should one of his three precious children contract HIV, there would be nothing, repeat nothing, he could do to alter the certainty of demise.

"Dr. Savitch's colleagues might furtively hiss 'off-the-record' whispers of support or direct nods of appreciation his way, yet he's the one perched precariously on a limb. While those scurrying to stand on principle without examining the requisite cost often find themselves hanging U-turns on the high road, Dr. Savitch seems prepared to shell out the cost of opposing both political correctness and national public health policy.

"Weighing privacy against an out-of-control epidemic fueled by increasing sexual promiscuity and a communicable phase spanning decades clinches the necessity for U.S. Public Health Services to immediately respond with universal testing, mandatory reporting, and compassionate counseling.

"Dr. Savitch hang-doggedly inquires, 'how many lives have to be sacrificed at the altar of privacy? There is a fine line between personal rights and public safety. A small retrovirus, invisible to the naked eye and even to a high-powered microscope, now straddles that line.'

"The moral imperative must prevail. As doctor and virus allude, the only survivors of this pandemic will be those who remain uninfected. The preservation of humanity, as Dr. Savitch forewarns, will also require radical behavior changes by those testing HIV positive.

"Our public health policy must candidly catapult folks in that direction. Dr. Savitch's nutcracker now pirouettes to the chimes of the 11th hour. Listen as they sound: 'No person or pill will save you. Every God has been approached. Every prayer has gone unanswered.'"

Beverly Kelly, The Washington Times, February 24, 1998, p. A21

"Evidence is mounting that the TV situation comedy 'Ellen' is headed to the Hollywood dumpster. Last year Ellen 'came out' as a lesbian on the show, and virtually every week since then the lesbian theme and gay rights agenda have been driven home. Because of its so-called boldness, the comedy received critical acclaim and even an endorsement from Al Gore in a speech where he urged Hollywood to do more to 'force' America to think about sexual orientation. But what does the public think? Well, the ratings are now in and America is rejecting the 'new' Ellen. Last year the show was ranked 30th of all prime-time shows. In spite of all the free publicity, it has now dropped to 45th. If ABC keeps this show on the air, their decision will have nothing to do with business sense and everything to do with pushing the gay agenda on America!"

Gary L. Bauer, Washington Update, March 4, 1998

"Big-money foundations supporting political causes is not at all unusual in America. Most of the richest foundations are distinctly liberal, with the Ford Foundation giving away over \$300 million annually, the MacArthur Foundation donating over \$100 million, and the Carnegie Foundation over \$50 million. The conservative Bradley, Scaife, and Olin foundations each give less than \$50 million.

"Reporters don't find it newsworthy when, for instance, the Ford Foundation supports such leftist groups as the American Civil Liberties Union, the Center for Budget and Policy Priorities, and the Children's Defense Fund. But the smaller political donations of Scaife generate media controversy."

Media Nomics, February 1998, p. 2

Book of the Month

The Gagging of God, D. A. Carson, 640 pages, \$27.95

A significant issue that evangelical Christianity is wrestling with today is that of pluralism. In his comprehensive work, D. A. Carson, research professor of New Testament at Trinity Evangelical Divinity School, addresses this issue. He begins by surveying what pluralism is and the ways it has impacted evangelicalism. From there, he goes into further depth into its effect on interpretation of the Bible and literature, a theological inclusivism which denies much of the uniqueness of Christ, how Christians should address a pluralistic culture, compromises by evangelicals on many issues, and how Christians can evangelize to a postmodern generation. Order this book from Summit Bookhouse for \$25.00, plus \$5.00 or 12 percent of total order, whichever is greater, for shipping and handling by calling (719) 685-9103, or by writing Summit Bookhouse, PO Box 207, Manitou Springs, CO 80829.