

# November 1997 Summit Journal

- [From the President's Desk](#)
- [Month in Review](#)
- [Discrimination Deception](#)
- [The Menace of Multiculturalism](#)
- [Societal Decay](#)
- [Other](#)

## From the President's Desk

Virtually every day we receive letters, calls or e-mail from our Summit graduates on the front lines of this great battle for the hearts and minds of our young people. Here is an example of one we recently received from a Purdue University student:

"My name is R.R. and I attended the 5<sup>th</sup> session of Summit this year. The first two days I was there I was constantly told that I was about to experience the greatest two weeks of my life; I must confess that I thought that I was going to have a great time, but not the GREATEST two weeks of my life. I could not have been more wrong! It was THE BEST two weeks I have ever had!!!! I would like to thank you for putting together that program and making it beyond human description. And you can quote me on that. I can't stop telling people about it and what my experience in it [meant]. It has helped me on a daily basis.

"I had to attend an early math course here at Purdue. During this early week we spend roughly 13 hours studying just math a day. On Thursday of that week, a guy whom I had been sitting next to quite often commented on the messages of the shirts I had been wearing (they were, shall we say, of a Christian nature). Somehow, and only by God, our conversation was focusing on denominations and the divisions in the church. A few of the people around us were in on the conversation, and it became more of a question and answer session with them asking me the questions, and I found myself answering with an unexplainable ease. It was both sad and exciting at the same time. On one hand these people were willing to ask questions about God and the church, yet it was sad that they didn't know for themselves, but what was overwhelming was the fact that they were actually LISTENING to me!! Someone commented on how I seemed to know a lot and had answers for the questions. I stated that I had attended a two week `camp' out in Colorado where they taught me all of this information. This `camp' of course was Summit. As soon as I mentioned this, the guy on my other side, who himself answered quite a few questions, spoke up with some surprise in his voice. He asked me if I was talking about the Summit, and when I replied `yes,' he immediately shot back that he was there during the Fourth Session. I was in utter shock! I never, never expected to meet someone else from Summit, especially at a math `camp' of all places.

Anyway, after about an hour of discussion, the prof came back up to teach again, and it was at that time that I stepped back and actually looked to see how many people were listening. We started out with the three or four people around me, and we ended up with about TWENTY (!) people listening to C. and me answer the questions. People had actually gotten up from their seats and moved their chairs closer to us. It was beyond belief. Without Summit I don't think we could have done that, and more importantly, I believe the Holy Spirit had a large part in this. It was AWESOME!!!

## Month in Review

"But I would not have you to be ignorant, brethren, concerning them which are asleep, that ye sorrow not, even as others which have no hope. For if we believe that Jesus died and rose again, even so them also which sleep in Jesus will God bring with him. For this we say unto you by the word of the Lord, that we which are alive and remain unto the coming of the Lord shall not prevent them which are asleep. For the Lord himself shall descend from heaven with a shout, with the voice of the archangel, and with the trump of God: and the dead in Christ shall rise first: then we which are alive and remain shall be caught up together with them in the clouds, to meet the Lord in the air: and so shall we ever be with the Lord. Wherefore comfort one another with these words."

1 Thessalonians 4:13-18

"For my own part I hate and distrust reactions not only in religion but in everything. Luther surely spoke very good sense when he compared humanity to a drunkard who, after falling off his horse on the right, falls off it the next time on the left... If it [the doctrine of the second coming] has recently been exaggerated, we must now take special care not to overlook it; for that is the side on which the drunk man is now most likely to fall off."

C.S. Lewis, *The World's Last Night*

"The doctrine of the Second Coming is deeply uncongenial to the whole evolutionary or developmental character of modern thought. We have been taught to think of the world as something that grows slowly towards perfection, something that `progresses' or `evolves.' Christian Apocalyptic offers us no such hope. It does not even foretell (which would be more tolerable to our habits of thought) a gradual decay. It foretells a sudden, violent end imposed from without; an extinguisher popped onto the candle, a brick flung at the gramophone, a curtain rung down on the play - `Halt!'"

C.S. Lewis, *The World's Last Night*

"Our real problem is not that our popular culture is filled with obscenities or trivialities, but that no one seems able to present the necessary intellectual grounds for an alternative."

Arthur Herman, *The Idea of Decline*, p. 450,451

# Discrimination Deception

"For every white I have met who is a racist, I have met twenty more who have seen me as an equal. And of those twenty, ten have wished me only the best as an individual. This has been my actual reality."

Shelby Steele in *Black and Right*, p. 70

"While it is a fact that black Ph.D.s earn less, on the average, than white Ph.D.s, it is important to know that the economic value of a degree is related to its field of expertise, as some fields pay more than others. As it happens, a great percentage of African Americans have taken Ph.D.s in education - not a very lucrative field, relatively speaking. Had they taken Ph.D.s in fields common to nonblacks (e.g., engineering, computer science, mathematics), the `conclusive evidence for racism would evaporate."

Thomas Sowell in *Black and Right*, p. 71

"Is sex discrimination rising in the workplace? That's how many feminists interpret a recent *New York Times* story. After two decades of shrinking, the male-female wage gap, reports the *Times*, is widening again. The full-time working woman currently earns 75 cents for every dollar a man earns, down from 77 cents in 1993. Even among college graduates and in occupations where women outnumber men, the male income advantage is rising.

"While technically correct, these figures are misleading. There are now millions of women working full time (many in their fifties and sixties) who stayed home for a decade or more raising children. Obviously their wages will be lower than those of males of similar education and skills who have been on the job continuously.

"Many working women, planning to interrupt their careers at some point to have children, *prefer* lower-paid jobs that also are safer, have more flexible hours, and allow one psychologically to check out at the end of the day. Sally Pipes, an economist and president of the Pacific Research Institute on Public Policy, suggests that many women do not want to reach parity at the highest levels of the corporate world. She cites a recent Korn/Ferry study that found only 14 per cent of corporate women aspiring to the CEO position, compared to 46 per cent of their male counterparts.

"One way to get an angle on how home-life differences affect wages is to restrict the analysis to workers who have never been married. Most will be younger people. As a group, young working women (ages 16 to 24) earn far closer to what their male counterparts earn than do older women. Among young people who have never had a child, women's earnings approach 98 per cent of men's.

"Older women who have never married and whose careers are a major focus of their lives come even closer to parity with men. Indeed, a 1993 Census study found that never-married women who work at full-time jobs earn \$1,005 for every \$1,000 made by comparable men."

Ed Rubenstein, *National Review*, October 13, 1997

"You might recall how the lefties who now run the Smithsonian Institution in Washington had their noses bloodied by World War II veterans a couple of years ago. That's when they were forced to back off the Enola Gay exhibition, commemorating the 50<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the bombing of Hiroshima and Nagasaki.

"Still smarting from that encounter, the Smithies, in a fit of petty bureaucratic malice, decided to go after a weaker opponent: The Boy Scouts. Yes, the very same Boy Scouts that President Clinton recently addressed at their Jamboree in Virginia. It seems the National Capital Area Council of the Scouts in Bethesda, Md., requested the use of the Smithsonian's National Zoo auditorium for a ceremony called the Court of Honor. Denying the request last January, Robert Hoage, Smithsonian chief of public affairs, wrote: 'The policy of the Smithsonian Institution, of which the Zoo is a bureau, requires that co-sponsored special events must reflect one of the many areas of the Smithsonian's interests.

"'It is very hard to see how the Court of Honor would mesh with this requirement. Also, the Smithsonian's policy prohibits co-sponsoring events with any organization that exercises bias on the basis of religious beliefs. Since it is our understanding that the Boy Scouts falls into this category, I am afraid it is impossible to collaborate on the proposed event.' There you have it, the Boy Scouts are politically incorrect.

"This religious bias argument is worse than stupid, it's preposterous.

"Yes, the Boy Scouts profess a belief in God, as does U.S. currency, the Pledge of Allegiance, the Chaplain of the U.S. Congress, and the president in his State of the Union address. But the Boy Scouts are flatly nonsectarian. If an atheist doesn't feel comfortable with the Scouts Oath to God and country, then he doesn't have to join. The Boy Scout Handbook includes the following passage: 'The U.S. Constitution gives each of us complete freedom to believe and worship as we wish without fear of punishment.

"'All your life, you will encounter people who hold different religious beliefs or even none at all. It is your duty to respect and defend the rights of others whose beliefs may differ from yours.'

"It was only recently that members of Congress became aware of this incident. Their protests prompted an official letter of apology from Smithsonian Secretary I. Michael Heyman to Tennessee Republican Sen. Bill Frist. 'I apologize for this unfortunate mistake and look forward to continuing our long-standing and mutually productive relationship with the Boy and Girl Scouts,' wrote Heyman, who added that he has 'reviewed this determination and reversed it.' Heyman also noted that the Scouts have been allowed to hold recent events at the Smithsonian.

"All this is symptomatic of the underlying problem at today's Smithsonian. An article by Heather

MacDonald in the May 1997 issue of *The New Criterion* explains how the current regime is filthy with curators and 'new museologists' recruited from the academic left who yearn to use the institution as a vehicle for political theater. Stay tuned for more apologies."

Mike Rosen, *The Gazette*, October 11, 1997, p. 9

## **The Menace of Multiculturalism**

"In the 1960s and 1970s the ideology of postmodernism took hold. While this ideology is difficult to define with precision, certain recurrent themes can be discerned, notably: the attainment of objective truth or fixed verities is impossible; no truth or ideas are transcendent; all ideas are socially or culturally constructed; ideas or truths are true only if they benefit the 'oppressed'; and the facts of history are unimportant. Briefly expressed, postmodernism is cultural relativism with political overtones, and multiculturalism is really the marriage of cultural relativism and postmodernism.

"The coming together of cultural relativism and postmodernism in multiculturalism has been fortified by an allied ideology: Marxism. Marxism has given multiculturalism its rationale and its concepts (e.g., oppression, inequality, imperialism, and revolutionary change) to devalue and dismantle America's 300-year-old culture. All aggressive-minded multiculturalists employ these concepts to attack the Euro-American culture."

Alvin J. Schmidt, *The Menace of Multiculturalism*, p. 4

"The promoters of multiculturalism in America are unhappy with their country's culture. They are alienated from its capitalistic, Eurocentric values, a culture that has given its people - including those who despise it - more prosperity, creature comforts, freedom, rights, and opportunity than any other culture in the world, past or present. Having lavishly benefitted from the abundant fruits of this culture, often without real toil or effort on their part, they evidently see no challenges left for them. Life has been too easy, especially when compared to the Third World, for instance. Thus meaning and satisfaction lie in championing the cultures of other 'peoples' and minority groups, who are 'oppressed' by the Euro-American culture."

Alvin J. Schmidt, *The Menace of Multiculturalism*, p. 17

q "In his Thesis on Feuerbach, Karl Marx preached revolution: 'The philosophers have only interpreted the world differently; the point is, to change [revolutionize] it.' While many multiculturalists may have never heard or read this statement, they have definitely been influenced by his revolutionary thoughts. They have a tremendous dislike, even hatred, for the United States and for its history of cultural assimilation. Their goal is to transform the United States from a culturally assimilated (melting pot) society to an unassimilated multicultural society with a wide variety of cultures, subcultures, and linguistic groups spread across the country's landscape and accorded equal status. Multiculturalists seek to create and 'celebrate diversity' and are not concerned that their diversity methods may ultimately

balkanize and divide the United States.

"Multiculturalists, influenced by Marxist thinking, appear to want America to become a divided country. The reason goes back to the Marxists' hatred of capitalism, especially in the United States which has practiced it with such eminent success. American capitalism, with some modifications, has clearly outperformed and defeated Marxist socialism (communism) in Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union, and no true Marxist is thrilled with that outcome. Thus it is neither paranoia nor conspiratorial thinking to imagine that true Marxists, unable to destroy the United States through Soviet communism, desire to achieve their goal by some other method. And the method they have used is to inject multiculturalism into America's schools, universities, churches, and businesses."

Alvin J. Schmidt, *The Menace of Multiculturalism*, p. 29

"Americans need to know that multiculturalism is attacking and even eradicating the foundation of their nation's morality, laws, and ethics, for the multiculturalists have an intense hatred of anything that reflects biblical values. On occasion, the multi-culturalist, antibiblical forces have been aided by the United States Supreme Court as it removed and outlawed biblical values and norms, for example, banning the posting of the Ten Commandments in public schools. In addition, special efforts have been made to expunge Christian symbols from all public properties.

"Multiculturalism has been aided by many liberal educational administrators, unwary business executives, zealous governmental bureaucrats, and unsuspecting church leaders."

Alvin J. Schmidt, *The Menace of Multiculturalism*, p. 7

You can order Alvin J. Schmidt's *The Menace of Multiculturalism* from Summit Ministries for \$34.95, plus \$4.20 for shipping and handling by calling Summit Ministries at (719) 685-9103.

## **Societal Decay**

"A 16-year-old murder defendant and six friends charged with plotting to kill fellow students were known as a loose collection of intellectuals who called themselves 'the group.' City and school officials Wednesday brushed aside rumors that they were a devil-worshipping cult.

"'There's all kinds of rumors flying around,' said Mayor Jimmy Foster, who alleged that his son was among the students targeted.

"'There are some things in this that could be considered satanic, but to jump out there to say it is satanic, that they are devil-worshippers, is jumping to conclusions a little bit.'

"The 16-year-old, Luke Woodham, is charged with murder and aggravated assault in an Oct. 1 shooting

spree at Pearl High School that left two girls, including his ex-girlfriend, dead and seven others wounded.

"Police said he stabbed his mother to death earlier that day.

"On Tuesday, police announced they had charged six other students with conspiracy to commit murder for allegedly plotting to kill classmates and the father of one of those arrested.

"'I am not insane! I am angry,' Woodham allegedly wrote in notebooks given to a friend, who shared them with *The Clarion-Ledger* of Jackson.

"'I am not spoiled or lazy for murder is not weak and slow-witted, murder is gutsy and daring. I killed because people like me are mistreated every day.'

"Woodham made references to the German philosopher Friedrich Nietzsche in a journal he allegedly gave to 16-year-old Justin James Sledge before the school shootings, Sledge told the newspaper. Sledge was among those arrested.

"Acquaintances called 'the group' a cult but had little first-hand knowledge of their beliefs or behavior.

"Stephanie Whitfield, 15, said one of the accused, 18-year-old Delbert 'Allan' Shaw, was a good friend who was invited to join but refused. He moved away to avoid further contact.

"Whitfield said the group was 'demonistic' and its members 'all extremely, extremely smart.'

"The killing and arrests have unnerved many in Pearl, a town of 22,000 just outside Jackson.

"'I pray to God every night to protect us,' said 16-year-old Nikki Miller, a student at the school. 'There were a few you could tell something wasn't right with. But there were a few you'd never guess...They'd draw weird things on their notebooks, like skulls.'"

Associated Press, *The Gazette*, October 9, 1997, p. A12

""During his visits to the United States in the late seventies, [Michel] Foucault became fascinated by San Francisco's gay scene with its bathhouses, leather bars, chains, whips, 'glory holes,' and sadomasochistic rituals. Sadomasochistic sex in particular represented what Foucault called a 'limit-experience,' an existentialist boundary situation in which the vitalist forces of the self could break from 'falsification' of pleasure through genital-centered sex. Foucault had come to believe what Artaud had argued in the forties, that 'the human body is an electric battery whose discharges have been castrated and repressed' by civilized taboos. That included the giving and taking of pain as a sexual ritual, in which, said another celebrant of the gay S/M scene, 'the experience of extreme suffering points us to the frontiers of human behavior.'

"Under the whip or iron clamp the entire body becomes an energized playing field for a Nietzschean 'game of truth.' For Foucault, all relations, even with our own bodies, are part of that same struggle for power; there is no standpoint outside them and no valid moral constraints on the *libido dominandi* as it reaches out for power and 'the endlessly repeated nonexistence of gratification.' When Foucault learned that he had contracted AIDS as the result of his pursuit of sexual transgression, that too became in his mind just another limit-experience: sex as a form of death, as well as the power to give death to others through sex. For at least two years after he contracted AIDS (from 1982 to 1984), Michel Foucault continued to visit his various gay orgy sites, knowingly passing the disease on to his anonymous partners. 'We are inventing new pleasures beyond sex,' Foucault told an interviewer - in this particular case, sex as murder."

Arthur Herman, *The Idea of Decline*, p. 356,357

The relationship between Luke Woodham, Friedrich Nietzsche and Michel Foucault is obvious. Nietzsche wrote *Beyond Good and Evil* and Foucault and Woodham lived it. Nietzsche divided the human race into two groups - the masses who are basically insects and the intellectually elite - the overman or superman. The masses live by a moral code; the elite make up their own code. Not surprisingly, Nietzsche and Foucault are both "hot" on America's campuses today. Many of America's students have determined to make up their own moral code.

"James Hormel, a wealthy Democratic donor from San Francisco, would become the first openly gay U. S. ambassador if the Senate confirms him as envoy to Luxembourg.

"The Senate Foreign Relations Committee, chaired by conservative Sen. Jesse Helms, R-N.C., previously recommended confirmation of Hormel as an alternate delegate to the United Nations.

"Hormel, 64, sits on the board of the Human Rights Campaign Foundation, the educational arm of the nation's leading gay-rights group and has donated at least \$120,000 to party candidates and causes since 1995.

"He is an heir to the Hormel Meat Co. Fortune."

Associated Press, *The Gazette*, October 9, 1997

"Marriage is a relic with no place in a street-smart society, according to social science textbooks studied by today's college students.

"So says 'Closed Hearts, Closed Minds,' a report released yesterday from the New York-based Institute for American Values. This nonpartisan group studied 20 college textbooks written in the last four years and offered some dire conclusions.

"`These books repeatedly suggest that marriage is more a problem than a solution,' the report stated. `The potential costs of marriage to adults, particularly women, often receive exaggerated treatment, while the benefits of marriage, both to individuals and society, are frequently downplayed or ignored.'

"The textbooks take a pessimistic view of marriage, emphasizing marital failures rather than the joys and benefits of traditional commitment and monogamy.

"The books also offer an edited view for students, `both by what they say and sometimes even more importantly, by the information they omit.'

"What they concentrate on, according to David Blakenthorn, president of the institute, is a 20-year-old value system.

"`The textbooks,' he said, `seem to be stuck in the `70s' world view that celebrates family diversity and sees the traditional family structure as suspicious.'

"Of the 20 books studied, only one - *Public and Private Families* by Johns Hopkins professor Andrew Cherlin - got an A for its balanced views and scholarship. The rest got lukewarm C's, or worse."

*The Washington Times*, September 18, 1997, p. A11

## Other

"Since 1979, articles based on the premise that life arose through chance random reactions over billions of years are not accepted in reputable journals."

Gerald L. Schroeder, *The Science of God*, p. 85

"The magnificent Natural History Museum in London devotes an entire wing to demonstrating the fact of evolution. They show how pink daisies can evolve into blue daisies, how gray moths change into black moths, how over a mere few thousand years, a wide variety of cichlid fish species evolved in Lake Victoria. It is all impressive.

"Impressive, until you walk out and reflect upon that which they were able to document. Daisies remained daisies, moths remained moths, and cichlid fish remained cichlid fish. Those changes are referred to as micro-evolution. In this exhibit, the museum's staff did not demonstrate a single unequivocal case in which life underwent a major gradual morphological change."

Gerald L. Schroeder, *The Science of God*, p. 31

"The probability that at ordinary temperatures a macroscopic number of molecules is assembled to give

rise to the coordinated functions characterizing living organisms is vanishingly small. The idea of the spontaneous genesis of life in its present form is therefore improbable, even on the scale of billions of years."

Ilya Prigogine in *The Science of God*, p. 112

"The fanatical devotees of the positive who believed that it was wrong to be negative, and objected to the term, 'anti-Communism'.

"I have often been confronted with the challenging question, 'We know what you are against, but what are you for?'

"Frankly, I considered the question to be infantile. Our home in Sydney is directly opposite to a fire station, and I find the question comparable to asking the fire-fighters: 'I know what you are against, what are you for?'

"I do not think it necessary or desirable that those devoted to preventing destructive fires should also be required to stress their devotion to neighborhood beautification.

"The question is also comparable to asking the staff of a hospital cancer ward, 'we know what you are against, what are you for?'

"The Communists have murdered millions. Their Marxist doctrines demand that the middle-class owners of property 'must be swept out of the way and made impossible.' This was the formula for class extermination, or classicide, that later led Pol Pot to murder millions of the citizens of Cambodia.

"The reason why freedom-loving humanitarians should be against mass murder should be self-evident."

Fred Schwarz, October 1, 1997 newsletter

"Last week, it was revealed that Sweden had imposed forced sterilization for 40 years, a practice that ended only in 1976. During this period, some 62,000 Swedes were sterilized in an effort to improve the quality of the Swedish people. Those of mixed race, low intelligence or with physical defects underwent forced sterilization by the state in order to prevent such qualities from being passed on. However, there is evidence that sterilization extended even to those who were merely rebellious or promiscuous or did not fit in somehow.

"The philosophy underlying the Swedish policy, which has raised a storm of condemnation, is known as eugenics. Eugenics grew out of scientific advances in the field of genetics in the 19th century. As it became clear that many physical qualities are inherited, advocates of eugenics favored efforts to ensure that positive human qualities were fostered and negative ones suppressed. This was to be done by encouraging men and women with positive qualities to intermarry, while those considered defective

were to be segregated or sterilized.

"The Nazis carried the practice of eugenics to its ultimate end. It could be argued that the entire Holocaust grew out of eugenic principles.

"When the facts about Nazi atrocities became known after World War II, there was a revulsion against eugenics for having given birth to such horrors. That is why the news that Sweden was still practicing eugenics as recently as 1976 has led to such an outcry. It also came as a shock that Sweden, long known as a liberal paradise, rather than some fascistic state, should have behaved in such a patently illiberal manner. Since World War II, it has been assumed that eugenics was part of the far right's philosophy, not that of the liberal left.

"But in fact, eugenics has always been part of the left's collectivist agenda. In 'The Open Society and Its Enemies,' philosopher Karl Popper pointed out that Plato believed strongly in eugenics and urged that humans be bred like dogs to develop superior qualities. By the early 1900s, eugenics was something of a fad among liberals in the United States, leading a number of states to pass laws requiring compulsory sterilization of those with hereditary defects. In 1927, the Supreme court upheld the constitutionality of such laws in the case of Buck vs. Bell. It was in this case that liberal Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes Jr. said, 'Three generations of imbeciles are enough.'

"In his book *Social Darwinism*, liberal historian Richard Hofstadter conceded that eugenics was indeed part of the liberal reform agenda. 'In spite of its fundamental conservatism,' Mr. Hofstadter wrote, 'the eugenics craze had about it the air of a "reform." Like the reform movements, it accepted the principle of state action toward a common end and spoke in terms of the collective destiny of the group rather than individual success.'

"But as the Nazi and Swedish examples show, it is too easy to use eugenics not just to improve the physical quality of humans but as a tool of social control. As Aldous Huxley wrote in the forward to his utopian horror, *Brave New World*, eugenics can be used to control those with 'dangerous thoughts about the social system' who 'infect others with their discontents.' After all, parents not only pass on their genes to their children but also their knowledge, values and opinions. That is why eugenics and totalitarianism go together."

Bruce Bartlett, *The Washington Times*, September 3, 1997

"Why are evolutionists so anxious to believe in a global flood on Mars, where there's no water at all, and no evidence but a few questionable land forms, while they flatly reject a global flood on Earth, where there is a great amount of water and an abundance of evidence?"

Henry M. Morris, *Impact*, September 1997

"President Clinton, who recently pledged to name five homosexuals to top White House posts, started to

do so yesterday by choosing a former Catholic nun to run the White House management shop.

"Virginia M. Apuzzo, 56, a lesbian activist, became one of just 18 `assistants to the presidents,' taking over the management and administration operation once headed by Clinton friend David Watkins. Mr. Watkins was fired after flying a Marine helicopter to a Maryland golf course.

"In her new job, she is in charge of White House operations, not policy.

"Miss Apuzzo brings with her a long resume of Democratic and AIDS activism and becomes the highest-level open homosexual in a U.S. administration.

"`It's historic. She proves that you can be an activist and professional,' said Kerry Lobel, executive director of the National Gay and Lesbian Task Force, a group Miss Apuzzo once headed.

"`I see this as a beginning,' Miss Lobel added. `There are certain expectations that this president will bring more gay, lesbian, transgenders into the administration. We intend to hold him to it.'

"During a recent meeting with key homosexual lobbying groups, Mr. Clinton promised to set aside five senior-level positions for homosexuals."

*The Washington Times*, October 2, 1997, p. A4

"The `father of the sexual revolution,' Alfred Kinsey, must be exposed as a fraud, Concerned Women for America said yesterday at its national convention.

"`Kinsey's early childhood sexuality data is fraudulent and criminally derived, and yet modern sex education is built on the Kinsey model,' CWA founder Beverly LaHaye said at the conservative women's convention at the Radisson Hotel in Alexandria.

"`I would like to see the Kinsey Institute shut down or at least lose its influence in our country,' she said.

"Kinsey, who died in 1956, is best known for his studies on human sexuality, made famous by two books: *Human Sexuality and the Human Male* in 1948 and *Human Sexuality and the Human Female* in 1953.

"Among other findings, the so-called Kinsey Report said children as young as 2 months old could be sexually active and have orgasms.

"Kinsey used a `deviant male sample' on which to base his work, said Judith Reisman, co-author of *Kinsey, Sex and Fraud: the Indoctrination of a People*. His data were derived from a group of prisoners, rapists, homosexuals, pimps, male prostitutes, thieves and others whom he labeled `average American

men.' The research also was based on documented child sexual abuse conducted by pedophiles, she said.

"Officials at the Kinsey Institutes for Research in Sex, Gender and Reproduction, based at Indiana University, were unavailable for comment yesterday.

"The CWA, which has 600,000 members, is joining forces with the Restoring Social Virtue and Purity (RSVP) America Campaign in calling for a congressional investigation and a nationwide grassroots effort to expose Kinsey's fraud and remove his 'cold hands' from the United States."

*The Washington Times*, October 4, 1997, p. A3

[Return me to the Summit Ministries Homepage](#)

[Return me to ChristianAnswers.net](#)